Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org

by guest on

## PERSPECTIVE

## A New Endothelial Target for Cannabinoids

MICHAEL D. RANDALL

School of Biomedical Sciences, University of Nottingham Medical School, Nottingham, United Kingdom Received January 6, 2003; accepted January 13, 2003 This article is available online at http://molpharm.aspetjournals.org

The article by Offertaler and colleagues in this issue contains evidence pointing to a novel vascular site of action of cannabinoids. The precise vascular actions of endogenous cannabinoids have been surprising complex and controversial (see Hillard, 2000; Ralevic et al., 2002; Randall et al., 2002). In 1995, Ellis et al. demonstrated that anandamide caused cerebrovascular vasodilatation in the rat via the release of prostanoids. The following year, Randall et al. (1996) demonstrated that anandamide was a relaxant in the rat mesenteric vasculature but that this did not involve cyclooxygenase products. Since these initial reports, a variety of mechanisms has been proposed for the vascular actions of anandamide. These have included the release of nitric oxide (Deutsch et al., 1997), activation of potassium channels (Randall et al., 1996, 1997), conversion to metabolites of arachidonic acid (Pratt et al., 1998), the activation of gap junctions and presumed mediation via the endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF) (Chaytor et al., 1999), blockade of calcium channels (Gebremedhin et al., 1999), and the involvement of prostanoids (Fleming et al., 1999). Perhaps most intriguing was the 1999 proposal that anandamide was in fact an endogenous vanilloid that acted via sensory nerves to release transmitters such as calcitonin gene-related peptide, which mediated the vasorelaxation (Zygmunt et al., 1999). However, almost without exception each proposal or demonstration has been accompanied by subsequent reports that did not support the initial findings, and this may reflect both species and methodological differences between studies. Two particular areas of uncertainty were the involvement of the endothelium and the role of currently recognized cannabinoid CB receptors. Much of the latter stems from the uncertainty surrounding the selectivity of the "classic" cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist SR141716A. Having said that, the strongest evidence for the involvement of cannabinoid CB<sub>1</sub> receptors came from the absence of cardiovascular responses to anandamide in CB1 knockout mice (Ledent et

In 1999, Wagner and colleagues (Jarai et al., 1999) pro-

posed that anandamide acted, in part, via an endothelial anandamide receptor in rat mesenteric vessels. This was based on the observation that relaxation to anandamide was partly sensitive to both removal of the endothelium and SR141716A, but when the endothelium was removed, the sensitivity to the antagonist was lost. This led to the proposal that anandamide acted on a cannabinoid receptor that was sensitive to SR141716A but was not the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor and was termed the "anandamide receptor". An additional observation was that the exogenous cannabinoid,  $\Delta^9$ -tetrahydrocannabinol, did not cause vasorelaxation. Subsequent work by that group, demonstrated that the endothelial cannabinoid receptor was also activated by the neurobehaviorally inactive "abnormal cannabidiol" (abn-cbd), which caused vaorelaxation (Jarai et al., 1999). One possibility to arise from the identification of the SR141716A-sensitve endothelium-dependent component is that an and a mide acts in part via EDHF and that SR141716A is acting via inhibition of EDHF activity (e.g., through blockade of myoendothelial gap junctions) (Chaytor et al., 1999). However, Mukhopadhyay et al. (2002) demonstrated that the endothelium-dependent component was G-protein-coupled and mediated via nitric oxide whereas the endothelium-independent component was due to activation of vanilloid receptors, at least in rabbit aortic rings.

In the present issue, Kunos and colleagues (Offertaler et al., 2003) provide further characterization of the "endothelial anandamide" receptor. Specifically they report that a novel cannabidiol analogue, O-1918, opposes the relaxant effects of anandamide and abn-cbd, the hypotensive effects of abn-cbd and the phosphorylation of p42/44 MAP kinase induced by abn-cbd in endothelial cells. These actions of O-1918 are independent of CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>2</sub> receptors, and this led the authors to conclude that O-1918 was a selective antagonist of the endothelial anandamide receptor. The authors point out that it is unlikely that O-1918 is acting at a different recognition site on CB<sub>1</sub> or CB<sub>2</sub> receptors because previous studies have shown that abn-cbd causes relaxation in vessels from

ABBREVIATIONS: EDHF, endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor; SR141716A, N-(piperidin-1-yl)-5-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-4-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboximide hydrochloride; abn-cbd, abnormal cannabidiol; MAP, mitogen-activated protein.

CB<sub>1</sub>/CB<sub>2</sub> knockout mice (Jarai et al., 1999). The findings in this article, therefore, go a long way in confirming the existence of a novel cannabinoid receptor. Indeed, this has been proposed by other authors to account for actions of cannabinoids that are not blocked by currently available CB<sub>1</sub>, CB<sub>2</sub>, or vanilloid receptor antagonists. Therefore, O-1918 may provide an important pharmacological tool for others to investigate the contribution of the novel receptor to other effects attributable to endogenous and exogenous cannabinoids.

There is of course a note of caution. Although this article points to O-1918 opposing the vascular effects of anandamide, there is no demonstration of its selectivity. The ability of increasing micromolar concentrations of O-1918 to oppose vasorelaxation to abn-cbd in an apparently competitive manner certainly points to selectivity, but further experiments are clearly required to confirm that O-1918 does not interfere with putative distal sites of action such as potassium channels. This point is particularly important because the use of SR141716A was confused by non-cannabinoid effects.

A further question is raised by the previous identification of CB<sub>1</sub> receptors in human endothelial cells by Liu et al. (2000). In that previous study, mRNA for the CB<sub>1</sub> receptor was identified in human endothelial cells, and the receptors were shown to be coupled to MAP kinase. This may suggest that CB<sub>1</sub> receptors exist alongside the novel O-1918-sensitive receptor or may point to species differences. Clearly, there is now a need to examine whether O-1918 also opposes responses to anandamide and abn-cbd in human vessels. Similarly, how are the present findings resolved with the lack of cardiovascular effects of anandamide in CB<sub>1</sub> knockout mice (Lederent et al., 1999)? Once again, this is a controversial point; Jarai et al. (1999) demonstrated that abn-cbd caused vasorelaxation in mesenteric vessels from CB<sub>1</sub> and CB<sub>1</sub>/CB<sub>2</sub> knockout mice, consistent with a non-CB<sub>1</sub>/CB<sub>2</sub> action.

The findings with O-1918 provide new insights into cannabinoid pharmacology. Furthermore, the subsequent data also provide important information regarding the vascular actions of anandamide. In brief, the data suggest that the endothelium-dependent relaxation to abn-cbd and anandamide is G-protein-coupled to MAP kinase activation and charybdotoxin-sensitive potassium channels but not to nitric oxide. Taken together, the authors propose that the novel receptor may be coupled to the release of EDHF. The effects of abn-cbd were also shown to be independent of vanilloid receptors. Given this latter finding, it remains to be determined what mediates the substantial endothelium-independent relaxation to abn-cbd? If this is not due to sensory nerves, what is it due to? Do anandamide and abn-cbd have identical actions?

In summary, the article by Offertaler et al. provides us with a potential antagonist of a novel cannabinoid receptor but caution must be exercised as its pharmacological selectivity has yet to be defined. The authors also go some way to supporting a role for EDHF in mediating responses to anandamide but the mechanisms that underlie the endotheliumindependent relaxation have yet to be defined.

## References

- Chaytor AT, Martin PEM, Evans WH, Randall MD, and Griffith TM (1999) The endothelial component of cannabinoid-induced relaxation in rabbit mesenteric artery depends on gap junctional communication. J Physiol (Lond) 520:539-550.
- Deutsch DG, Goligorsky MS, Schmid PG, Krebsbach RJ, Schmid HHO, Das SK, Dey SK, Arreaza G, Thorup C, Stefano G, and Moore LC (1997) Production and physiological actions of anandamide in the vasculature of the rat kidney. J Clin
- Investig Ellis EF, Moore SF, and Willoughby KA (1995) Anandamide and  $\Delta^9$ -THC dilation of cerebral arterioles is blocked by indomethacin. Am J Physiol 38:H1859-H1864.
- Fleming I, Schermer B, Popp R, and Busse R (1999) Inhibition of the production of endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor by cannabinoid receptor agonists. BrJPharmacol 126:949-960
- Gebremedhin D, Lange AR, Campbell WB, Hillard CJ, and Harder DR (1999) Cannabinoid CB1 receptor of cat cerebral arterial muscle functions to inhibit L-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel current. Am J Physiol 45:H2085–H2093.
- Hillard CJ (2000) Endocannabinoids and vascular function. J Pharmacol Exp Ther **294:**27–32.
- Jarai Z, Wagner JA, Varga K, Lake K, Compton DR, Martin BR, Zimmer AM, Bonner TI, Buckley NE, Mezey E, Razdan RK, Zimmer A, and Razdan G (1999) Cannabinoid-induced mesenteric vasodilation through an endothelial site distinct from CB<sub>1</sub> or CB<sub>2</sub> receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci 96:14136-14141
- Ledent C, Valverde O, Cossu C, Petitet F, Aubert LF, Beslot F, Bohme GA, Imperato A, Pedrazzini T, Roques BP, Vassart G, Fratta W, and Parmentier M (1999) Unresponsiveness to cannabinoids and reduced additive effects of opiates in CB<sub>1</sub> receptor knockout mice. Science (Wash) 283:401-404.
- Liu J, GaoB, Mirshahi F, Sanyal AJ, Khanolkar AD, Makriyannis A, and Kunos G (2000) Functional CB1 cannabinoid receptors in human vascular endothelial cells. Biochem J 346:835-840.

Downloaded from molpharm.aspetjournals.org by guest on December 1,

- Mukhopadhyay S, Chapnick BM, and Howlett AC (2002) Anandamide-induced vasorelaxation in rabbit aortic rings has two components: G protein dependent and independent, Am J Physiol 282:H2046-H2054.
- Pratt PF, Hillard CJ, Edgemond WS, and Campbell WB (1998) N-arachidonylethanolamide relaxation of bovine coronary artery is not mediated by CB1 cannabinoid receptor. Am J Physiol 43:H375-H381.
- Ralevic V, Kendall DA, Randall MD, and Smart D (2002) Cannabinoid modulation of sensory neurotransmission via cannabinoid and vanilloid receptors: roles in regulation of cardiovascular function. Life Sci 71:2577-2594.
- Randall MD, Alexander SPH, Bennett T, Boyd EA, Fry JR, Gardiner SM, Kemp PA, McCulloch AI, and Kendall DA (1996) An endogenous cannabinoid as an endothelium-derived vasorelaxant, Biochem Biophys Res Commun 229:114-120.
- Randall MD, Harris D, Kendall DA, and Ralevic V (2002) Cardiovascular effects of cannabinoids. Pharmacol Ther 95:191-202.
- Randall MD, McCulloch AI, and Kendall DA (1997) Comparative pharmacology of endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor and anandamide in rat isolated mesentery. Eur J Pharmacol 333:191-197.
- Zygmunt PM, Petersson J, Andersson DA, Chuang H-h, Sorgard M, Di Marzo V, Julius D, and Hogestatt ED (1999) Vanilloid receptors on sensory nerves mediate the vasodilator action of anandamide. Nature (Lond) 400:452-457.

